

1 Vermicology II – The potential, products and problems of Vermiculture.

2 Rob Blakemore,

3 GPO Box 414, Kippax, Canberra, ACT 2615

4 Tel: +61 (02) 6278-5610

5 Email: [robblakemore@bigpond.com](mailto:robblakemore@bigpond.com)

6 Abstract

7 The two main products of vermiculture are earthworm biomass and vermicompost.  
8 Excess earthworm stock may be sold on and used as bait or as a source of protein,  
9 chemicals, and pharmaceuticals. Vermicompost has generic properties that make it a  
10 suitable soil amendment, of potential benefit to organic production. This paper will  
11 address issues of stabilization of organic “wastes” using particular earthworm species,  
12 discussing the problems of organic pollutants, heavy metals, and transmission of  
13 propagules of pathogens and pests. Published reports of the effects of vermicompost  
14 application on soil properties, microbial activity, plant productivity, and on resident  
15 earthworm faunas will be reviewed, in particular, the results of glasshouse and field  
16 trials using vermicompost or earthworm casts in pasture, horticulture, viticulture,  
17 paddy, and broadacre crops. Contrasting technologies and economic issues will not  
18 be covered.

19 Keywords: vermiculture, earthworm casts, sludge stabilization, vermicompost, plant  
20 productivity.

21  
22 Introduction

23 Vermicomposting is used extensively in China, Cuba, India and Mexico, but  
24 the industrial use of earthworms in management of municipal refuse and sewage  
25 sludge has only recently been attempted in Australia, Europe and North America.  
26 Only a few of the many earthworm species have been trialed for their suitability and  
27 efficacy for composting of sewage sludge and municipal wastes. Although there have  
28 been many successful results, most schemes have been experimental and short lived,  
29 few have been sustained for more than a few years demonstrating the relatively  
30 innovative nature of this industry.

31 Environmental concerns with vermicomposting of sewage sludge and  
32 municipal refuse include the fate of heavy metals, major pollutants of sludge. For  
33 example, in north America 50-60% of municipal sludges cannot be applied on

1 agricultural land because the cadmium (Cd) content exceeds the permissible heavy  
2 metal limit (of 25 ppm) (Tyagi and Couillard, 1991). Different earthworm species  
3 deal with heavy metals in different ways: immobilisation and excretion being the most  
4 important strategies employed to minimise toxic effects. This implies that the choice  
5 of earthworm species depends on the particular task required of it and on the range of  
6 products anticipated: protein preparation would best be done using species that egest  
7 cadmium, rather than accumulate it. However, this view is an oversimplification and  
8 there are a number of important over-riding factors that limit the choice of species.

9 Other considerations include accumulation and concentration of pesticides  
10 such as organochlorines, and the potential for dissemination of pathogens such as  
11 enteric bacteria, viruses, parasitic protists (e.g. *Giardia* spp., *Entamoeba* spp.  
12 *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Toxoplasma* spp.), nematodes and cestodes, as well as plant  
13 pathogens and weed propagules.

14  
15

16 The major issues addressed in this synopsis are:

17

- 18 • decomposition of sewage sludge by earthworms;
- 19 • suitability and efficacy of different species;
- 20 • accumulation of heavy metals;
- 21 • accumulation of organic pollutants;
- 22 • residues of heavy metals and pollutants in castings;
- 23 • potential for transmission of pathogens;
- 24 • effect of vermicast/compost on suppression of plant pathogens and on crop  
25 yields.

26

27 Issues not covered are:

- 28 • composting technology and economics;
- 29 • comparison of the various composter species.

30

31 Background

32

1           Increasing population density, combined with restrictions on ocean dumping,  
2 incineration and landfilling require innovative methods of disposal of domestic  
3 ‘wastes’. Appropriate disposal involves both maximum cost-effective recovery of  
4 recycleable constituents and transformation of non-recoverable material into forms  
5 which do not present environmental hazards (Applehof, 1981). Two traditional  
6 methods of disposal that have received a lot of attention are composting and spreading  
7 of biosolids on the land as fertilizer. Potential problems with either method relate to  
8 the persistence of heavy metals and pesticides and to the dissemination of pathogens  
9 (eg. Epstein, 1988). These problems have been dealt with in the past by bulking  
10 sewage sludge with innocuous material to dilute concentrations of contaminants and  
11 by sterilizing and aerating to reduce pathogens.

12           Trials on vermicomposting of sewage sludge began in the 1970's (Knight,  
13 1989). Several sewage works in the USA established pilot schemes, amongst the  
14 largest were Lufkin, Texas and Keysville, Maryland. The plant at Lufkin could  
15 process up to 4 tonnes of sludge a week by spraying thickened sludge over sawdust to  
16 create a material suitable for vermiculture using *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) the  
17 “Tiger worm” or “Compost worm” (Pincince *et al.*, 1981). At Keysville, the method  
18 used raised indoor beds and concentrated air-dried sludge. However, the schemes  
19 suffered technical difficulties and were uneconomic (Knight, 1989). Many subsequent  
20 attempts at vermicomposting of sludge have suffered the effects of extravagant claims,  
21 made often by entrepreneurs or environmental zealots, and lacking in rigorous  
22 scientific research, as a result few of these early trials were sustainable.

23           Scientific investigation of vermicomposting of sludge began in New York in  
24 1976 (Hartenstein and Mitchell, 1978). This work was the basis for a workshop  
25 entitled "*Utilization of Soil Organisms in Sludge Management*" (Hartenstein, 1978).  
26 Other conference proceedings include those compiled by Applehof (1981). Review  
27 articles appear in Edwards & Neuhauser (1988) while more general reviews on  
28 earthworm biology and ecology are by Edwards & Lofty (1977), Satchell (1983), Lee  
29 (1985), and Edwards & Bohlen (1996)

30  
31 1. Decomposition of sewage sludge and municipal wastes by earthworms

32

1 Vermicomposting uses earthworms to mix, fragment and aerate the source material  
2 making it more conducive to microbial activity and generally avoiding the exothermic  
3 stage. Vermicomposting has been stated to offer the following advantages over  
4 thermophilic composting (Domingues et al., 1997):

- 5 • Less manual manipulation of the composting material.
- 6 • Greater rates of humification, the processing of organic wastes in 1-2 months.
- 7 • Pathogenic microbes are reduced and product can have suppressive effect on  
8 soilborne pathogens (as for compost).
- 9 • Greater reduction in bioavailable heavy metals than in composting.
- 10 • Product is microbially enhanced and has good crumb structure and nutrient status,  
11 also contains plant-hormonal agents.
- 12 • Potential by-product of earthworm biomass.

13  
14 Vermicomposting can thus provide an organic product in less time with less handling  
15 when compared to thermophilic composting. However composting alone is simpler to  
16 manage for the purposes of stabilization and sanitization (i.e., removal of pathogens  
17 and weed seeds) (Ingham, 1999). Riggle and Holmes (1994: 60) report on a Canadian  
18 company's proprietary "Vermiconversion System" that combines thermophilic  
19 composting for 3-15 days to neutralize pathogens and weed seed, followed by  
20 vermicomposting for 30 days.

21  
22 Earthworms facilitate the stabilization of organic wastes because their activity  
23 maintains aerobic conditions and ingested solids are converted into discrete, odorless  
24 casts (Waugh & Mitchell, 1981; Haimi & Huhta, 1987; Loehr *et al.*, 1988).  
25 Earthworms and microorganisms enhance each other's activity in the composting  
26 process (Satchell, 1983a). To be useful in sludge management programs, earthworms  
27 must readily colonise sludge, increase the stabilization rate compared with other  
28 composting methods, and be economical in terms of operating costs and marketability  
29 of final products.

30 Anaerobically-digested sludge was found to be acutely toxic to *Eisenia fetida*  
31 but this toxicity disappeared when the sludge was allowed to age for 2 months as thin  
32 layers exposed to air (Hartenstein & Mitchell, 1978). Moreover, the subsequent rate

1 of decomposition was accelerated about 2- to 5-fold following its conversion into  
2 casts by earthworms. Toxicity was believed to relate to redox potential and it was  
3 later shown that anaerobic sludge was non-toxic to *E. fetida* only after it attained an  
4  $E_h$  of greater than 250 mV as the sludge aged on soil (Kaplan *et al.*, 1980a). A  
5 substrate's redox potential ( $E_h$ ) is its reducing or oxidising capacity, measured in mV  
6 potential difference. High  $E_h$  values indicate oxidizing conditions determined by  
7 factors such as moisture content, oxygen permeability and organic matter content,  
8 factors that perhaps reflect a substrate's habitability for earthworms. However, some  
9 sludges that have achieved an  $E_h$  of greater than 250 mV may still fail to provide  
10 adequate nourishment to earthworms due to retention of toxic but unidentified  
11 material (Hartenstein and Mitchell, 1978). Organic wastes containing much ammonia  
12 or large amounts of inorganic salts are toxic to *E. fetida* according to Edwards (1988).

13 Hartenstein & Mitchell (1978) reported that *E. fetida*, when placed directly in  
14 aerobically-digested sludge drying beds, hastened the decomposition process where the  
15 moisture content of the drying sludge was below critical levels. In the absence of  
16 earthworms, anaerobic processes predominated over aerobic ones and decomposition  
17 slowed. In its untreated state, aerobic sludge assumes a rock-like hardness upon  
18 drying and is resistant to rewetting, passage of this sludge through earthworms results  
19 in casts which have a large surface area, dry rapidly and yet can readily resorb water.

20 Neuhauser *et al.* (1988) showed that *E. fetida* can double the rate of  
21 destruction of volatile solids in aerobically digested sludge after 20 days, probably due  
22 to increased aeration and other processes of earthworm activity. These authors also  
23 found that optimum *E. fetida* growth was when total sludge solids upper range was  
24 about 16% on a wet basis. Experiments by Loehr *et al.* (1988) demonstrated that  
25 vermistabilization of fresh aerated sludge with *E. fetida* functioned successfully in  
26 trials run for 27 weeks. Conversely, Neuhauser *et al.* (1988) found that as aerobic  
27 sludge aged for more than 12 weeks its nutritive value to earthworms decreased  
28 rapidly.

29 Promising early reports from several pilot schemes were not unsustained.  
30 Three such schemes are mentioned by Lee (1985). One was a trial in southern  
31 California (described by Collier and Livingstone, 1981) that was discontinued despite  
32 producing vermicompost favourable for plant growth and acceptable to growers.  
33 Another operation established at Lufkin, Texas (Pincince *et al.*, 1981) failed when the

1 earthworms died in a particularly hot summer. A third system in Ontario (Canada)  
2 used industrial sludges to produce vermicompost which was applied to soils at a rate  
3 that was estimated (by Bird and Hale, 1982) to raise the concentration of heavy metals  
4 in the soil above the legally prescribed limits within 45 years. Biodegradation of  
5 biosolids by composting appears to be a more acceptable option in some situations  
6 (Miller, 1991). Vermicomposting could assume a more important role if it could be  
7 clearly demonstrated that their maintenance did not add expense or complexity to  
8 standard composting techniques, or that the final product had exceptional benefit over  
9 conventional composts. One such benefit could be the presence of plant hormone-like  
10 compounds (Nielson, 1965; Tomati et al., 1987). Krishnamoorthy and Vajranabhaiah  
11 (1986, as reported in Ishmail, 1995) reported plant hormones in the casts of two  
12 earthworm species - *Lampito mauritii* Kinberg and *Perionxy excavatus* Perrier, the  
13 concentrations of which diminished rapidly as the casts aged for 10 weeks, suggesting  
14 that fresh casts are more beneficial.

### 16 3. Accumulation of heavy metals

18 Note:  $\mu\text{g/g}$  or  $\text{mg/kg}$  are synonymous with parts per million (ppm).

20 The term heavy metals is taken to include several elements which have a  
21 biological function or are toxic to some organisms. The most important  
22 environmental pollutants, listed in Lee (1985), are lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury  
23 (Hg), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), antimony (Sb) and bismuth (Bi). Many  
24 other elements are involved but most attention has been given to the first two in the  
25 list. Because earthworms ingest large quantities of substrate they are particularly  
26 susceptible to accumulation of pollutants which may be passed to other animals  
27 directly (eg. by predation by birds or mammals), or indirectly via plant uptake of  
28 earthworm products from the soil. The main issues are toxicity and the rate and  
29 means of heavy metal accumulation in earthworms.

31 Hartenstein & Mitchell (1978) reported that various sludge treatments with  
32 salts of heavy metal at these concentrations were not toxic to *E. fetida* over a six week  
33 period: Cd at 100 ppm, Hg at 100 ppm, Cr at 3000 ppm, Ni at 1000 ppm, Pb at 5000

1 ppm. The same sludge treated with Cu at 2500 ppm or with Zn at 10000 ppm was  
2 toxic. Of the foregoing metals, only Cd accumulated in the tissues of *E. fetida* and the  
3 authors concluded that this accumulation of Cd only occurs after it has been liberated  
4 from sludge by forces such as microbial metabolism. Toxicity studies by Hartenstein  
5 *et al.* (1980) found that *E. fetida* fed for 4 weeks on sludge doubled their biomass  
6 despite the presence of heavy metals, although long-term sublethal effects may reduce  
7 their fertility (Ireland, 1983). When removed from exposure to sublethal  
8 concentrations of heavy metals *E. fetida* was capable of compensatory growth  
9 (Neuhauser *et al.*, 1984).

10 Toxicity of five heavy metals to *E. fetida* were tested by Malecki *et al.* (1982).  
11 Minimum concentrations (in ppm dry weight) that retarded growth were: 50 for Cd;  
12 100 for Cu; 12,000 for Pb, 200 for Ni and 2,000 for Zn. Minimum concentrations for  
13 suppression of reproduction (again in ppm) were: 25 for Cd, 100 for Cu, 4,000 for Pb,  
14 200 for Ni and 500 for Zn. The results of their short-term (8 weeks) and long-term  
15 (20 weeks) studies showed that Cd was the most toxic in terms of earthworm growth  
16 and reproduction. However, their results differed depending on which chemical  
17 compound of a heavy metal was fed to the earthworm, especially insoluble forms were  
18 less toxic (see also Neuhauser *et al.*, 1984). This last finding may explain why  
19 conflicting data sometimes appears in the literature on concentrations that have  
20 deleterious effects on earthworms.

21  
22 Numerous authors, as reviewed by Beyer (1981) and Ireland (1983), have  
23 reported that earthworms can accumulate heavy metals from both contaminated and  
24 non-contaminated environments. Storage ratios or concentrations factors (these two  
25 terms are interchangeable and refer to the ratio of a metal in tissue to that in the  
26 substrate) tend to be highest in infertile soil and lowest in media high in organic  
27 matter, such as sewage sludge. Ireland (1983) states that Cd does not appear to  
28 concentrate in earthworm tissues indefinitely and the ratio decreases with increasing  
29 Cd concentration, unlike Pb which appears to accumulate continuously. Carter *et al.*  
30 (1983) found some regulation of Zn and Cu, but not of Cd which reached a maximum  
31 in earthworm tissue of about 34 ppm (cf. 100 ppm recorded by Helmke *et al.* (1979)  
32 and 400 ppm found by Mori & Kurihara (1979)). Beyer (1981) calculated the storage

1 ratios for 29 heavy metals from the data of Helmke *et al.* (1979), the only positive  
2 values were for Zn, Se, Br Au, Hg and Cd, this latter storage ratio being 28:1.

3  
4 Graff (1982) examined the accumulation of heavy metals in *Eisenia fetida* and  
5 *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Kinberg) before and after feeding on compost made from  
6 municipal garbage. The heavy metal contents (in µg/g dry weight) before and after  
7 feeding were: for *E. fetida*, Cu 4 to 29, Zn 140 to 640, Pb 3 to 14, Cd 2 to 9, Hg 0.1 to  
8 14; for *Eudrilus eugeniae*: Cu 17 to 55, Zn 165 to 360, Pb 10 to 72, Cd 4 to 6, Hg 1 to  
9 15. These data indicate that the earthworms are extracting the heavy metals from the  
10 compost and are concentrating them in their tissues. Except for Cu and Pb, all these  
11 final concentrations exceed the minimum EPA accepted thresholds for heavy metals  
12 in biosolid products (Anon. 1994).

13  
14 Heavy metal concentrations for *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (Savigny), a common  
15 pasture worm, in soils treated for 10 yrs with municipal waste compost were reported  
16 by Ma (1982). The concentration factors in earthworm tissue were ca. 10-140 times  
17 for Cd, 2-75 times for Zn, and 0.2-2.6 times for Pb, only sometimes was Cu  
18 concentrated while Ni, Fe, Mn and Cr were not concentrated. Similar studies were  
19 made by Andersen (1979) and Tomlin *et al.* (1992). Lee (1985) states that the data of  
20 Ma (1982) cannot be assumed to apply for other species of earthworms nor for  
21 different soils as the physico-chemical state of the soil determines the solubility of the  
22 heavy metals added.

23  
24 Mechanisms of adsorption and excretion of Pb by earthworms are not clearly  
25 understood. Although Pb was absorbed by *Eisenia fetida* in investigations conducted  
26 by Wielgus-Serafinska & Kawka (1976), as reviewed in Lee (1985), increasing  
27 concentrations of Pb in the soil did not result in similar increased concentrations in the  
28 earthworm tissues. These workers concluded that increasing environmental  
29 concentrations of Pb stimulate excretory mechanisms in *E. fetida*, perhaps through  
30 excretion with mucus from the body wall. This is a possible explanation as Fleming  
31 and Richards (1982) demonstrated surface adsorption of heavy metals on the mucus  
32 coating of *Eisenia fetida*.

33



1 The pathways of heavy metal accumulation and excretion vary between  
2 species. Earthworms that tolerate high concentrations of toxic heavy metals either do  
3 not absorb the metal, accumulate it in a non-toxic form or excrete it efficiently  
4 (Ireland & Richards, 1979; Ireland, 1983). Andersen & Laursen (1982) studied the  
5 excretion and distribution of 5 heavy metals in 3 species of earthworm. They found  
6 the metals to be handled in at least three ways in *Lumbricus terrestris* Linnaeus:

- 7 (a) immobilization in fatty (chloragogen) cells of the gut wall
- 8 (b) storage in waste nodules (or "brown bodies") formed within the body cavity
- 9 (c) excretion through the calciferous glands.

10 The metals Pb, and Cd were accumulated in the gut wall and from here transferred to  
11 waste nodules in *L. terrestris* whereas Mn, Zn and Fe were regulated, mainly through  
12 excretion via the calciferous glands. Although fed on different contaminated soils, the  
13 total Pb content of another pasture worm *Aporrectodea longa* (Ude), which has  
14 relatively poor calciferous glands, was 6 µg/g compared with 24 µg/g for *L. terrestris*  
15 yet the Pb concentration in waste nodules were 89 ppm and 57 ppm, respectively.  
16 This was taken to indicate that a species with poorly developed calciferous glands  
17 excretes less Pb. Andersen & Laursen (1982) also found that Cd, which was  
18 accumulated by all three earthworm species, was concentrated in *L. terrestris*,  
19 *Aporrectodea rosea* (Savigny) and *A. longa* in chloragogen cells (by very effective  
20 binding in Cd-metallothioneins) and, only in the latter species, in waste nodules too.  
21 The main implication of these different pathways is that Pb and Cd when taken up and  
22 stored may be released only when the earthworm dies.

#### 23 24 4. Accumulation of organic pollutants

25

26 Toxicity and accumulation of various biocides in earthworms, as for heavy  
27 metals, is of concern; in particular the fate of pesticide residues and polychlorinated  
28 biphenyls (PCBs). Several authors have investigated earthworm tissue concentrations  
29 of organochlorines (eg. Edwards & Thompson, 1973; Haimi *et al.*, 1992), but few data  
30 are available for PCBs (eg. Kreis *et al.*, 1987).

31 Pesticide residues in sludge are unlikely to exceed concentrations that are  
32 quoted in the literature as being toxic to earthworms, however some can accumulate.  
33 Organochlorines are particularly problematical because they are persistent in the

1 environment, are relatively harmless to earthworms, and are lipophilic so may be  
2 concentrated in earthworm fatty tissues (Lee, 1985). Other pesticides are apparently  
3 not accumulated by earthworms and, although organophosphates and carbamates may  
4 be more toxic, these compounds are generally less persistent in the environment.

5 There is little quantitative information available on the accumulation and  
6 transfer of pesticides in earthworms feeding on sludge. However, it is apparent that  
7 the concentration and decay rates differ depending upon the species and substrates.  
8 *Eisenia fetida* was found to be the most tolerant (except to the carbamate, aldicarb) of  
9 several species tested for sensitivity to a range of biocides (Stenersen, 1979 as  
10 reported in Lee, 1985 ).

11 In soils, organochlorines are also the most important contaminants with  
12 regards transfer of pesticides along food chains via bird and mammal predators of  
13 earthworms (eg Brown, 1978, Edwards & Lofty, 1977; Lee, 1985). For example,  
14 Beyer & Gish (1980) sprayed dieldrin, heptachlor and DDT onto soil plots and  
15 measured concentrations in earthworms; average ratios compared to soil were:  
16 dieldrin, 8; heptachlor epoxide, 10 and DDT, 5.

## 17 18 5. Residues of heavy metals and pollutants in casts

19  
20 Few studies have considered the fate of heavy metals in vermicomposts as  
21 opposed to studies of earthworm casts from contaminated soils (eg. Morgan &  
22 Morgan, 1992). Ireland (1983) stated that total Cd in earthworm casts from  
23 contaminated soils was sometimes higher than in the surrounding soils for some  
24 species, whereas concentration ratios of Zn, Pb and Cu in casts were more variable. In  
25 contrast, Hartenstein *et al.* (1980) reported that passage of sludge through the gut of *E.*  
26 *fetida* did not increase Cd, nor Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn. Carter *et al.* (1983) found that in  
27 *Lumbricus rubellus* Hoffmeister, the Cd levels in casts tended to increase as  
28 contaminated sludge concentration increased up to a certain level but that Cu and Zn  
29 levels showed no relationship with sludge concentrations.

30 In response to initial observations by Hartenstein & Mitchell (1978), Kaplan *et*  
31 *al.* (1980c) investigated self toxicity and interspecific toxicity of casts of *E. fetida*.  
32 They found that casts produced when feeding on soil were self-toxic and cross-toxic  
33 to *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Kinberg) and to two *Amyntas* spp but this toxicity disappeared

1 after casts were aged 2 weeks. However, the casts produced by *E. fetida* and *Eudrilus*  
2 *eugeniae* when fed on activated sludge were self-toxic but not cross-toxic. Aging for  
3 6 weeks made these casts more highly toxic both to themselves and one another  
4 except where the casts had been amended with soil. The cause of this toxicity was  
5 attributed to microbial populations within the casts and it was recommended that soil  
6 and fresh sludge be added when making vermicompost to ensure the earthworms were  
7 not exposed to high concentrations of their own casts.

## 8 9 10 6. Potential for transmission of pathogens and weed seeds.

11  
12 Earthworms feeding on sludge may be potential vectors of a wide range of  
13 parasitic and pathogenic organisms (Lee, 1985; Satchell, 1983a). Activated sludge  
14 does not generally support growth to human enterics, but anaerobic sludge does  
15 (Taber, 1976). It has been determined that passage of organic material through the gut  
16 of an earthworm can reduce numbers of some micororganisms and increase numbers  
17 of others (Satchell, 1983a). Spores and cysts of some parasites pass unharmed  
18 through the gut of earthworms while some pathogens are reduced. A recent report by  
19 Eastman (1999) has found that USA EPA's required pathogen reduction in indicator  
20 organisms can be obtained using vermicomposting as an alternative method for  
21 stabilization of Class A biosolids.

22 Brown & Mitchell (1981) reported that *Eisenia fetida* feeding on a growing  
23 medium inoculated with *Salmonella enteritidis*, reduced populations of this enteric  
24 pathogen by 42 times, compared to controls, after 28 days with the greatest rate of  
25 reduction of pathogen in the first 4 days. Satchell (1983a) reports the findings of two  
26 researchers (Day, 1950, and Brusewitz, 1959) that two species of Enterobacteriaceae,  
27 *Serratia marcessens* and *Escherichia coli* inoculated in soil were killed when ingested  
28 by the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris*.

29 Eggs of some nematode parasites of mammals and birds, eg. *Ascaris*  
30 *lumbricoides*, *A. suum* and *Ascarida galli*, are not destroyed following passage  
31 through the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* Linnaeus, (Rysavy, 1969; Hartenstein &  
32 Mitchell, 1978). Associations between nematodes and earthworms were reviewed by  
33 Poinar (1978) who listed some 150 associations only some of which are parasitic to

1 hosts other than earthworms. Edwards and Lofty (1977) summarised the range of  
2 helminth parasites for which earthworms are intermediate hosts. Which, if any, of  
3 these parasites are of concern in a municipality would depend on the local levels of  
4 public health and hygiene and numbers of residents or visitors from infected regions.

5 Microbial pathogens are perhaps the greatest concern, yet the NSW EPA code  
6 (Anon., 1994) specifically targets only faecal coliform and salmonellae and makes no  
7 mention of other enterobacteria nor viruses. The code of practice for reduction of  
8 pathogens in biosolids products for "Unrestricted Use" set out by NSW EPA (Anon.,  
9 1994) tentatively approves three processes: composting, heating and drying, and pH  
10 adjustment with heating. In addition the composted product is subjected to a  
11 minimum pathogen regrowth potential assay system as approved by the EPA. The  
12 three processes, respectively, require a compost to reach 53°C for 5 days or 55°C for 3  
13 days; heating to 70°C for 1 hr and drying to 75% solids; raising the alkalinity to pH 12  
14 with heating to 52°C and drying to >50% solid. Such regimes would invariably be  
15 fatal for earthworms unless they were free to migrate to areas where temperatures  
16 were abated. Survival of the earthworms would be advantageous for colonization of  
17 fresh substrates, but may be undesirable if they acted as agents for transmission of  
18 pathogens or pollutants. The proposed EPA requirements for stabilisation of biosolid  
19 products for "Restricted Use" are less stringent (Anon., 1994). It should be borne in  
20 mind that the current New South Wales EPA guidelines, which serve as standards for  
21 some other Australian states, are under review and subject to change.

22  
23 Weed seeds will need to be eliminated, either at source or in the finished  
24 product, for vermicomposting to be a viable alternative to conventional composting.

## 25 26 7. Effect of worm castings on crop yields

27  
28 Worm casts from an organically rich source medium are variously referred to  
29 as "vermicast" or as "vermicompost". Despite claims by some producers, it is only  
30 recently that there been solid scientific results on the subject of the usefulness of  
31 vermicompost on plant growth (see Edwards & Burrows, 1988). Very little of the  
32 material that earthworms ingest is actually digested. However, during passage  
33 through the gut of the earthworm ingested material is mixed, and has its physical,

1 chemical and biotic components altered, particularly the microbial activity tends to be  
2 enhanced. The structure and composition of the casts is largely dependent on the  
3 composition of the food source (Edwards & Burrows, 1988; Buchanan *et al.*, 1988).  
4 Organic materials differ greatly in their nutrient content; processing by the earthworm  
5 can change the form of these compounds but has very little effect on the total amounts  
6 contained. The physical structure of the casts also depends on the source material,  
7 however the final product usually comprises finely mixed and relatively stable  
8 aggregates with good structure, porosity, and moisture-holding capacity (Edwards,  
9 1981; Lee, 1985). The composition of casts from earthworms feeding on sewage  
10 sludge can differ substantially from that produced by earthworms feeding on  
11 unamended soils.

12         Casts produced from soil have increased nitrate and exchangeable calcium,  
13 magnesium, potassium and phosphorus than the original soil (Lunt & Jacobson, 1944).  
14 Other chemical and physical changes in earthworm casts compared to parent soil are  
15 given by Zhang & Schrader (1993) and changes in microbial populations are covered  
16 by Satchell (1983).

17         Edwards & Burrows (1988) compared the nutrient contents of several organic  
18 wastes before and after being worked by earthworms: all had increased nitrate, soluble  
19 P and exchangeable potassium, calcium and magnesium when worm-worked. These  
20 authors found that emergence and growth of a range of seedlings in pots was  
21 frequently enhanced in these worm-worked compared to unworked media. Fresh  
22 earthworm casts may contain high salt soluble concentrations, especially of Na<sup>+</sup>,  
23 sufficient to damage plants. Stark *et al.* (1978) found that leaching casts with water  
24 reduced these salts to tolerable levels while still retaining most of the plant beneficial  
25 nutrients.

26         Some physico-chemical changes imposed on sludge in conversion to  
27 vermicompost are given by Hartenstein & Hartenstein (1981). Chemical analyses by  
28 Buchanan *et al.* (1988) of vermicompost from a municipal sewage sludge had 48 ppm  
29 N-nitrate, 11 ppm available P, 2442 ppm available K, 4354 ppm available Ca and  
30 1858 available Mg. These values were comparable to a commercial compost mix  
31 although the composition of the source sludge was not given.

32         Haimi & Huhta (1987) made comparisons between the physical, chemical and  
33 biotic nature of worm-worked and "wormless" sewage sludge and between

1 vermicompost and conventional compost. Whereas the wormless sludge remained as  
2 a compact clump, the worms produced a friable mass of castings. Physicochemical  
3 analyses revealed only minor differences between worm-worked and other products  
4 and these authors concluded that vermicompost was superior to ordinary compost only  
5 with regard to its physical structure.

6  
7 Handreck (1986) compared the porosities, salinities, nutrient contents, pH  
8 values and trace elements of several vermicomposts and potting mixes.  
9 Vermicomposts varied widely in total nutrient content: most had negligible amounts  
10 of soluble N-nitrates but had ample amounts of P and some had high concentrations of  
11 Zn and Cu. Plant (*Matthiola incana*) growth in potting mixes reflected the nutrient  
12 status of the vermicomposts: in general plants were N deficient (requiring a  
13 supplementary supply) and some were further affected by toxic levels of trace  
14 elements although there was adequate P (and often K and S) and trace elements. (See  
15 also Handreck & Black, 1994).

16  
17 A glasshouse trial by Springett & Syers (1979) in New Zealand grew ryegrass  
18 seedlings for 8 days in soil in pots with or without added phosphorous (P) fertilizer  
19 and with or without casts of fieldworms: *Aporrectodea caliginosa* or *Lumbricus*  
20 *rubellus*, the soil and casts they collected from the same pasture site. Their results  
21 showed a consistent increase in plant growth in the presence of earthworm casts, in  
22 addition that obtained from added fertilizer, of between 5% to 50% in root length and  
23 5% to 49% in shoot length relative to growth in the soils without casts.

24  
25 In Germany, Graff & Makeschin (1980) grew ryegrass in soil in pots which  
26 had either contained and then had removed after 11 days specimens of *L. terrestris*, *A.*  
27 *caliginosa* and *E. fetida*, or had held no earthworms. The grass was harvested three  
28 times and total plant yields were compared. Dry matter and root production were  
29 significantly higher in the worm-worked soils, for each species, than in the control  
30 soils. The increased yields, relative to the controls for *L. terrestris*, *A. caliginosa* and  
31 *E. fetida* were: for shoot dry matter, 100%, 68% and 52%, respectively and for total  
32 root production, 59%, 38% and 24%, respectively. It is assumed that some

1 contribution to these increased yields is attributable to the presence of casts but that  
2 earthworm burrows and exudates also had an influence.

3  
4 As reported by Lee (1985), Collier (1978) planted sunflower, tomatoes and  
5 corn in three treatments: (a) *E. fetida* casts derived from sewage sludge, (b) in  
6 unprocessed sludge that was ground to a similar size to the casts and (c) in untreated  
7 soil. All plants in (b) treatment died within 2 month whereas they thrived in the other  
8 two treatments and plants in treatment (a), i.e., those in *E. fetida* casts, yielded 4 times  
9 those in (c). In contrast, Frederickson & Knight (1988) found that tomatoes grown in  
10 sludge worked by *E. fetida* showed a reduced rate of development after 109 days  
11 compared to tomatoes grown in a commercial compost. This they attributed to a high  
12 pH and excess nutrients in the worm-worked material.

13  
14 In India, Reddy (1988) compared the growth of an ornamental shrub, *Vinca*  
15 *rosea* and rice, *Oryza sativa*, in soils with or without the casts of *Pheretima alexandri*.  
16 Those *V. rosea* plants in casts grew better and produced flowers and fruits earlier than  
17 plants in soil alone. Rice growing for 4 months in pots with highest concentrations of  
18 added casts grew best, the whole plant lengths (means) being 81.5 cm in soil mixed  
19 with casts compared to 62.8 cm in soil alone.

20  
21 One of the first attempts to determine specific effects of vermicompost on some plant  
22 pathogens was by Szczech et al. (1993). These authors found that *Phytophthora* and  
23 *Fusarium* fungi were suppressed by vermicompost, but that parasitic nematodes were  
24 not affected. In contrast, Zambolium et al. (1996) showed that 'agro-wastes' reduced  
25 nematodes. Inoculation of sorghum seeds with *Azospirillum brasiliense* and  
26 earthworm casts increased growth of sorghum (Savalgi and Savalgi, 1991).  
27 *Trichoderma* fungi produce antibiotics that slow or arrest growth of take-all and  
28 *Rhizoctonia* fungi. Periera et al. (1998) have studied the survival and saprophytic  
29 ability of *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai and *Bacillus subtilis* Cohn in vermicompost  
30 derived from by-products of sugarcane and rice (see also Phae et al., 1990).

31  
32 Soil borne diseases are believed to be less prevalent on organic farms that regularly  
33 use organic soil amendments (Workneh et al., 1993, referred to in Hoitink and Grebus,

1 1994). Porter (1999) reports that organic vineyards using composts for at least 4 or 5  
2 years in California show significantly reduced root rot in phylloxerated vines (15.3%  
3 lower).

4  
5 Control measures for the potential hazards associated with weed seeds and  
6 pathogens in vermicomposts were recently investigated by Buckerfield et al. (1999)  
7 who demonstrated that steam pasteurization of vermicompost (at 45 mins at 80°C) did  
8 not reduce its performance in promoting raddish test crop growth, while sterilization  
9 (60 mins at 120°C and 105Kpa) rendered it as ineffective as the sand control without  
10 vermicompost.

11  
12 Few reports deal with field trials involving the application of vermicompost.  
13 Kale *at al.* (1992) studied vermicompost in a rice paddy in India. Significant  
14 increases in the colonisation of soil by microbes (including N-fixers, Actinomycetes,  
15 spore formers and mycorrhizae) occurred in the experimental plots compared to the  
16 control plots without added vermicompost. Higher levels of total N in the  
17 experimental plot where vermicompost was added was attributed to higher counts of  
18 N-fixing microbes. Lee (1985) mentions findings by Khan (1966) that the growth of  
19 maize on a loamy soil in Pakistan was enhanced by the addition of casts of *Metaphire*  
20 *posthuma* and that their effect was greater than was obtained with the addition of  
21 farmyard manure.

22  
23 For soybean, worm castings had a stimulating effect on the growth of *Glycine max*  
24 (soybeans), with an increase in root length, lateral root number, shoot length, and  
25 internodal length of seedlings (Chan & Griffiths, 1998). Casts alone were used or  
26 casts + peat-sand mix. Beneficial effects were attributed to presence in casts of plant  
27 growth regulators. The application of vermicasts (100 and 300 g per 3.5 kg soil)  
28 increased the dry weight of soybean by 40-70%, compared to soil-only control. The N  
29 absorbed by the plants from the soil increased from 30 to 50%. P and K in the treated  
30 plants were twice that of the control. The amount of organic matter, total N, P and K  
31 and available P and K in the soil were also increased (Lui et al., 1991).

32



1           Recent studies have shown that vermicomposting operations can benefit a  
2 variety of industries, including viticulture. A report by Logsdon (1994) makes passing  
3 reference to a study in Pune, India (by H. Jambhekar from Matarasha Agricultural  
4 Bioteka) that grapes fertilized with 2 tons of vermicompost per acre (ca. 5 t/ha) per  
5 year for five years increased yields to 15 tons per acre, higher than yields under  
6 conventional fertilization and there were additional improvements in soils (see also  
7 Ismail, 1995).

8  
9 In Australia, clear benefits have been derived from organic amendments (mulches,  
10 composts and vermicomposts) in vinyard management (Buckerfield & Webster, 1996;  
11 1998a; 1998b; 1999). Buckerfield & Webster (1996) demonstrated that vineyards in  
12 the Barossa Valley benefited from the applications of organic mulches under-vine  
13 rather than ‘normal practices’ of keeping the soil bare. Higher soil moisture (34%),  
14 significant grape yield increases (46%) and increased resident earthworm density  
15 (155%) were all recorded. Subsequent trials, reported by Buckerfield & Webster  
16 (1998a, 1999), using compost mulches under Cabernet Sauvignon and Shiraz  
17 rootlings at Rutherglen resulted in a rapid increase in stem diameter – by up to 50% in  
18 four months. While in McLaren Vale, where composted ‘green organics’ were used  
19 as mulches under one-year old Cabernet Sauvignon vines, increases in shoot length  
20 (70%) after 6 weeks led to 300% increase in grape yields within six months. Young  
21 Chardonnay vines in the Barossa Valley had double yields when 75 mm of compost  
22 mulch were applied. Mulching with compost rather than straw was also found to  
23 increase soil moisture and to be effective in suppressing weeds, with optimum  
24 application of 50-75 mm depth under vines.

25  
26 The first published attempt to assess the value of vermicomposts on Australian  
27 vineyards were by Buckerfield & Webster (1998b). Surface applications of  
28 vermicompost derived from grape marc or pomace, spread undervine and covered  
29 with straw or paper mulch, increased the yield of Pinot Noir by 56% at a site in  
30 McLaren Vale, SA. At a second site at Woodside in the Adelaide Hills,  
31 vermicompost derived from animal manures under a straw mulch increased  
32 Chardonnay by up to 35%. Yields with either straw, paper, marc, manure or  
33 vermicompost alone did not differ significantly from the untreated control. However,

1 Buckerfield (pers. comm. August, 1999) has subsequently found sustained yield  
2 increase from this initial treatment of up to 35% in the subsequent two years harvests.

#### 4 **Conclusions**

5 Early experiments that demonstrated the potential benefits of vermicomposting  
6 organic 'wastes' for increasing rates of stabilization and for production of useable  
7 products have not been extensively adopted. Various pilot trials and schemes were  
8 initiated to put theory into practice, few of which succeeded for more than a short  
9 while. Reasons for failure have varied but perhaps the main causes were inability to  
10 maintain suitable conditions for earthworm survival. These conditions include  
11 regulation of suitable food supplies, temperatures, moisture levels, aeration, and  
12 control of contaminants. Only recently have more prolonged and viable operations  
13 existed, these serving as demonstration facilities for this developing technology.

14 Vermicomposting relies almost entirely on one earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*, a  
15 generalist able to tolerate wide variations in environmental conditions compared to  
16 other species. Only a small fraction of the four thousand species of earthworms  
17 known from around the world have been studied with regards their vermicomposting  
18 potential. Those species that have been investigated appear to have very different  
19 behaviours and requirements, making them suitable for particular conditions and  
20 climates. Regardless of these differences, unless a species has better handling  
21 properties than *Eisenia fetida* then it is unlikely to be widely exploited commercially.

22 Assuming the environment could be maintained suitable for earthworm  
23 survival with sufficient precision, there then remains problems of contamination by  
24 heavy metals, pesticides, pathogens and weeds. The importance for each of these  
25 depends on the particular composition and screening of the source material. Levels of  
26 toxins and pathogens require monitoring, both before and after processing. Heavy  
27 metals are perhaps of greatest concern, and it may be possible to exploit some aspect  
28 of earthworm behaviour for their removal. Processing by the earthworms may alter  
29 the solubility or stability of some heavy metals, or perhaps enhance other physical,  
30 chemical or microbial means of removal (eg. Tyagi and Couillard, 1991).  
31 Accumulation of pesticide may be slightly less problematical in the short term as these  
32 chemicals and their metabolites often have known rates and products of decay.  
33 Earthworms may be used in combination with conventional composting techniques to

1 reduce pathogens and weeds, although the temperatures involved are incompatible for  
2 earthworm survival.

3 A major obstacle for greater uptake of vermicomposting is the need to prove  
4 that the products are safe, reliable, and acceptable. Caution is required when  
5 promoting potential benefits, since over-stated claims and 'snake oil' selling damage  
6 the credibility of this industry in its infancy.

7 Rigorous scientific studies are indicating that vermicomposting of municipal  
8 and farm wastes may be a suitable option for production of beneficial products.  
9 Composition and consistency of these products would largely depend on the  
10 composition of the initial waste materials and of any materials with which they are  
11 combined. As for sludge treatment, there would be a requirement to constantly  
12 monitor nutrients and contaminants, in both the raw materials and the final products,  
13 and to prevent pathogen regrowth. There is a concurrent need for more extensive  
14 investigation on the range and rates of applications of vermicomposts so that  
15 restrictions and recommendations can be formulated.

16

## 17 **References**

- 18 Anon., 1994. Interim code of practice for use and disposal of biosolids products. Draft  
19 - June, 1994. Environment Protection Authority of New South Wales.
- 20 Andersen, C., 1979. Cadmium, lead and calcium content, number and biomass, in  
21 earthworms (*Lumbricidae*) from sewage sludge treated soil. *Pedobiologia*, 19,  
22 309-319.
- 23 Andersen, C. and Laursen, J., 1982. Distribution of heavy metals in *Lumbricus*  
24 *terrestris*, *Aporrectodea longa* and *A. rosea* measured by atomic absorption  
25 and X-ray fluorescence spectrometry. *Pedobiologia*, 24, 347-356.
- 26 Applehof, M., 1981. Workshop on the Role of Earthworms in the Stabilization of  
27 Organic Residues. Volume 1, Proceedings. Beech Leaf Press. Kalamazoo,  
28 Michigan. Pp 315.
- 29 Beyer, W.N., 1981. Metals and Terrestrial Earthworms (Annelida: Oligochaeta). In:  
30 Applehof, M. (Ed.), 1981. Workshop on the Role of Earthworms in the  
31 Stabilization of Organic Residues. Volume 1, Proceedings. Beech Leaf Press.  
32 Kalamazoo, Michigan. Pp 135-150.
- 33 Beyer, W.N. and Gish, C.D., 1980. Persistence in earthworms and potential hazards to  
34 birds of soil applied DDT, dieldrin and heptachlor. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 17, 295-307.
- 35 Bird, S.J.G. and Hale, I.M., 1982. Development of vermicomposting operations at a  
36 prototype plant. Consultants' report to A.E. Burgess, Waste Control Division,  
37 Environment Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. Pp. 175.
- 38 Brown, A.W.A. 1978. *Ecology of Pesticides*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

- 1 Brown, B.A. and Mitchell, M.J., 1981. Role of the earthworm, *Eisenia foetida*, in  
2 affecting the survival of *Salmonella enteritidis* ser. *typhimurium*. *Pedobiologia*  
3 22, 434-438.
- 4 Bruzewitz, G, 1959. Studies on the influence of earthworms on numbers of species  
5 and role of micro-organisms in soils. *Arch. Mikrobiol.* 33, 52-82 [In german].
- 6 Buchanan, M.A., Russell, G. and Block, S.D., 1988. Chemical characterization and  
7 nitrogen mineralization potentials of vermicompost derived from differing  
8 organic wastes. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (Eds.), 1988.  
9 *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management*. SPB Academic  
10 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 231-239.
- 11 Buckerfield, J.C., and Webster, K. A., 1996. Earthworms, mulching, soil moisture  
12 and grape yields. *Aust. N.Z. Wine Ind. Jour.* Vol 11(1), 47-53.
- 13 Buckerfield, J.C., and Webster, K. A., 1998a. Compost as mulch for managing young vines. *The*  
14 *Australian Grapegrower & Winemaker*, October, 1998, 75-78.
- 15 Buckerfield, J.C., and Webster, K. A., 1998b. Worm-worked waste boosts grape  
16 yields – prospects for vermicompost use in vineyards. *Aust. N.Z. Wine Ind. Jour.*  
17 Vol 13(1), 73-76.
- 18 Buckerfield, J.C., and Webster, K. A. (1999). Compost as mulch for vineyards. *The Australian*  
19 *Grapegrower & Winemaker*, Annual Technical Issue. 1999, 112-118.
- 20 Buckerfield, J.C., Flavel, T.C., Lee, K.E. and Webster, K.A., 1999. Vermicompost in  
21 solid and liquid forms as a plant-growth promoter. *Pedobiologia*, 43, 753-759.
- 22 Carter, G.S., Kenney, E.A., Guthrie, T.F., and Timmenga, H., 1983. Heavy metals in  
23 earthworms in non-contaminated and contaminated agricultural soil from near  
24 Vancouver, Canada. In: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.) *Earthworm Ecology*. Chapman and  
25 Hall, London. Pp. 267-274.
- 26 Chan, P.L.S. and Griffiths, D.A., 1988. The vermicomposting of pre-treated pig manure. *Biol. Wastes.*  
27 24, 57-69.
- 28 Collier, J., 1978. Use of earthworms in sludge lagoons. In: R. Hartenstein (Ed.).  
29 *Utilization of Soil Organisms in Sludge Management*. Natl. Tech. Inf. Services,  
30 PB286932. Springfield, Virginia, Pp 131-133.
- 31 Collier, J. and Livingstone, D., 1981. Conversion of municipal wastewater treatment  
32 plant residual sludges into earthworm castings for use as a fertile topsoil.  
33 Report to U.S. National Science Foundation, Appropriate Technology Program.  
34 Grant No. ENV77-16832 A01.
- 35 Day, G.M., 1950. Influence of earthworms on soil micro-organisms. *Soil Sc.* 69,  
36 175-184.
- 37 Dominguez, J., Edwards, C.A., and Subler, S., 1997. A comparison of  
38 vermicomposting and composting. *BioCycle*, April 1997, 57-59.
- 39 Eastman, B.R., 1999. Achieving pathogen stabilization using vermicomposting.  
40 *Biocycle*, November, 1999, 62-64.  
41 (<http://gnv.fdt.net/~windle/reference/nov99.htm>).
- 42 Edwards, C.A., 1981. Earthworms, Soil Fertility and Plant Growth. In: Applehof, M.  
43 (Ed.). *Workshop on the Role of Earthworms in the Stabilization of Organic*  
44 *Residues*. Volume 1, Proceedings. Beech Leaf Press. Kalamazoo, Michigan.  
45 Pp 61-85.
- 46 Edwards, C.A. 1988. Breakdown of animal, vegetable and industrial organic wastes  
47 by earthworms. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (eds), 1988.  
48 *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management*. SPB Academic  
49 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 21-31.

- 1 Edwards, C.A. and Bater, J.E. 1992. The use of earthworms in environmental  
2 management. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24, 1683-1689.
- 3 Edwards C.A. and Burrows, I. 1988. The potential of earthworm compost as plant  
4 growth media. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (eds), 1988.  
5 Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management. SPB Academic  
6 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 211-220.
- 7 Edwards, C.A. and Lofty, J.R. 1977. *Biology of Earthworms*. Second edition.  
8 Chapman and Hall, London.
- 9 Edwards, C.A. and Thompson, A.R. 1973. Pesticides and the soil fauna. *Residue*  
10 *Rev.* 45, 1-79.
- 11 Epstein, E. (1998). Pathogenic health aspects of land application. *BioCycle*,  
12 September, 1998, 62-67.
- 13 Fleming, T.P. and Richards, K.S. 1982. Localization of adsorbed heavy metals on the  
14 earthworm body surface and their retrieval by chelation. *Pedobiologia*, 23,  
15 415-418.
- 16 Fosgate, O.T. and Babb, M.R. 1972. Biodegradation of animal waste by *Lumbricus*  
17 *terrestris*. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 55, 870-872.
- 18 Frederickson, J. and Knight, D. 1988. The use of anaerobically digested cattle solids  
19 for vermiculture. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (eds), 1988.  
20 Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management. SPB Academic  
21 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 33-47.
- 22 Graff, O. 1982. Vergleich der Regenwurmarten *Eisenia foetida* und *Eudrilus eugeniae*  
23 hinsichtlich ihrer Eignung zur Proteinwinningung aus Abfallstoffen. *Pedobiologia*  
24 23, 277-282. [In german, english summary].
- 25 Graff, O and Makeschin, F. (1980). Crop yield of rye-grass influenced by the  
26 excretions of three earthworm species. *Pedobiologia* 20, 176-180. [In german,  
27 english summary].
- 28 Haimi, J. and Huhta, V. 1987. Comparison of composts produced from identical  
29 wastes by "vermistabilization" and conventional composting. *Pedobiologia* 30,  
30 137-144.
- 31 Haimi, J., Salminin, J., Huhta, V., Knuutinen, J. and Palm, H. 1992. Bioaccumulation  
32 of organochlorine compounds in earthworms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24, 1699-  
33 1703.
- 34 Handreck, K.A. 1986. Vermicomposts as components of potting media. *Biocycle*.  
35 10/86, 58-62.
- 36 Handreck, K.A. and Black, N.D., (1994). *Growing Media for ornamental plants and*  
37 *turf*. UNSW, Sydney. (Revised edition).
- 38 Hartenstein, R. (ed), 1978. *Utilization of Soil Organisms in Sludge Management*.  
39 *Conference Proceedings*. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical  
40 *Information Services*, PB286932. Springfield, Virginia. Pp 171.
- 41 Hartenstein, R. 1983. Assimilation by the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. In: Satchell, J.E.  
42 (ed). 1983. *Earthworm Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and  
43 *Hall*, London, Pp 297-308.
- 44 Hartenstein, R. and Hartenstein, F. 1981. Physicochemical changes effected in  
45 activated sludge by the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *J. Environ. Qual.* 10, 377-  
46 382.
- 47 Hartenstein, R. and Mitchell, M.J. 1978. *Utilization of Earthworms and*  
48 *Microorganisms in Stabilization, Decontamination and Detoxification of*  
49 *Residual Sludges from Treatment of Wastewater*, Final Report. U.S.

- 1 Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Services, PB  
2 286018, Springfield, Virginia, 34 Pp.
- 3 Hartenstein, R., Neuhauser, E. F. and Collier, J. 1980. Accumulation of heavy metals  
4 in the earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. J. Env. Qual. 9, 23-26.
- 5 Helmke, P.A., Robarge, W.P., Korotev, R.L. and Schomberg, P.J. 1979. Effects of  
6 soil-applied sewage sludge on concentrations of elements in earthworms. J.  
7 Environ. Qual., 8, 322-327.
- 8 Hoitink, H.A.J. (1990). Production of disease suppressive compost and container  
9 media, and microorganism culture for use therein. US Patent 4960348. Feb. 13,  
10 1990.
- 11 Hoitink, H.A.J., Boehm, M.J., and Hadar, Y. (1993). Mechanisms of suppression of  
12 soil-borne plant pathogens in compost-amended substrate. In: Science and  
13 Engineering of Composting: Design, Environmental, Microbiological and  
14 Utilization Aspects, ed by Harry A.J. Hoitink and Harold M. Keener.  
15 Renaissance Publications, Worthington, Ohio. Pp 601-621.
- 16 Hoitink, H.A.J., and Grebus, M.E. (1994). Status of Biological control of plant  
17 diseases with composts. Compost Science & Utilization, Spring, 1994, 2(2):  
18 6-12.
- 19 Hoitink, H.A.J., Y. Inbar, and M.J. Boehm. 1991. Status of compost-amended potting  
20 mixes naturally suppressive to soilborne diseases of floricultural crops. Plant  
21 Disease. September. p. 869-873.
- 22 Hoitink, H.A.J., and Kuter, G.A. (1984). Role of composts in suppression of soilborn  
23 plantpathogens of ornamental plants. BioCycle, 25(3): 40-42.
- 24 Honeycutt, M.E., Roberts, B.L. and Roane, D.S. 1995. Cadmium disposition in the  
25 earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 30 (2),  
26 143-150.
- 27 Ingham, E. (1999). How to recognize (and make) good compost. BioCycle, February,  
28 1999: 83-84.
- 29 Ireland, M.P. 1983. Heavy metal uptake and tissue distribution in earthworms. In:  
30 Satchell, J.E. (ed). *Earthworm Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture*.  
31 Chapman and Hall, London, Pp. 247-265.
- 32 Ireland, M.P. and Richards, K.S. 1979. The occurrence and localisation of heavy  
33 metals and glycogen in the earthworms *Lumbricus rubellus* and *Dendrobaena*  
34 *rubida* from a heavy metal site. Histochemistry, 51, 153-166.
- 35 Ismail, Sultan, A. (1995) Earthworms in soil fertility management. In Thampan, P.K.  
36 (ed). "Organic Agriculture". Peekay Tree Crops Development Foundation,  
37 Chochin. Pp 77-100.
- 38 Kale, R.D., Bano, K. and Krishnamoorthy, R.V. 1982. Potential of *Perionyx excavatus*  
39 for utilizing organic wastes. Pedobiologia, 23, 419-425.
- 40 Kale, R.D., Mallesan, B.C., Bano, K., Bagyraj, D.J. and Krestzchmar, A. 1992.  
41 Influence of vermicompost application on the available macronutrients and  
42 selected microbial populations in a paddy field. Soil Biology and  
43 Biochemistry, 24(12), 1317-1320.
- 44 Kaplan, D., Hartenstein, R., Neuhauser, E.F. and Malecki, M.R. 1980a.  
45 Physicochemical requirements in the environment of the earthworm *Eisenia*  
46 *foetida*. Soil Biol. Biochem., 12, 165-171.
- 47 Kaplan, D., Hartenstein, R., Neuhauser, E.F. and Malecki, M.R. 1980b. Role of the  
48 pheretimoid worms *Amyntas hawayana* and *A. rodericensis* in soils and  
49 recycling. Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol., 17, 347-352.

- 1 Kaplan, D., Hartenstein, R. and Neuhauser, E.F. 1980c. Coprophagic relations among  
2 the earthworms *Eisenia foetida*, *Eudrilus eugeniae* and *Amyntas* spp.  
3 *Pedobiologia* 20, 74-84.
- 4 Khan, A.W. 1966. Earthworms of West Pakistan and their utility in soil improvement.  
5 *Agric. Pak.* 17, 192-197.
- 6 Knight, D. (1989). Nice work for a worm. *New Scientist.* 8 July, 31-35.
- 7 Krishnamoorthy, R.V., and Vajranabhaiah, S.N. (1986). Biological activity of  
8 earthworm casts. An assessment of plant growth promoter levels in the casts.  
9 *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Anim. Sci.)*. 95: 341-351.
- 10 Kreis, B., Edwards, G., Guendet, G. and Tarrabellas, J. 1987. The dynamics of PCBs  
11 between earthworm populations and agricultural soils. *Pedobiologia* 30, 379-  
12 388.
- 13 Lee, K.E. 1985. Earthworms. Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land  
14 Use. Academic Press, Sydney. Pp 411.
- 15 Lee, K.E. 1992. Some trends and opportunities in earthworm research or: Darwin's  
16 children - the future of our discipline. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24(12), 1765-1771.
- 17 Loehr, R.C., Martin, J.H. and Neuhauser, E.F. 1988. Stabilization of liquid municipal  
18 sludge using earthworms. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (eds), 1988.  
19 Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management. SPB Academic  
20 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 95-110.
- 21 Logsdon, G. (1994). New horizons for commercial vermiculture. *Biocycle*, October  
22 1994: 63-65.
- 23 Lui, S.X, Xiong, D.Z., Veeresh, G. K., Rajagopal, D. and Viraktamath, C.A. (1991).  
24 Studies on the effect of earthworms on the fertility of red-arid soil. In:  
25 Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Soil Zoology Colloquium, Advances in  
26 management and conservation of soil fauna, Bangalore, India August 7-13,  
27 1998: 543-546.
- 28 Lunt, H.A. and Jacobson, H.G.M. 1944. The chemical composition of earthworm  
29 casts. *Soil Science*, 58, 367-375.
- 30 Ma, Wei-Chun. 1982. The influence of soil properties and worm-related factors on  
31 the concentration of heavy metals in earthworms. *Pedobiologia* 24, 109-119.
- 32 Malecki, M.R., Neuhauser, E.F. and Loehr, R.C. 1982. The effect of metals on the  
33 growth and reproduction of *Eisenia foetida* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae).  
34 *Pedobiologia* 24, 129-137.
- 35 Miller, F.C. (1991). Biodegradation of solid wastes by composting. In: Martin, A.M.  
36 (ed). 1991. Biological Degradation of Wastes. Elsevier Science Publishers,  
37 London. Pp 1-31.
- 38 Mitchell, M.J., Hornor, S.G. and Abrams, B.I. 1980. Decomposition of sewage sludge  
39 in drying beds and the potential role of the earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. *J.*  
40 *Environ. Qual.*, 9, 373-378.
- 41 Mitchell, M.J., Mulligan, R.M., Hartenstein, R. and Neuhauser, E.F. 1977.  
42 Conversion of sludges into "topsoils" by earthworms. *Compost Science.* 18(4),  
43 28-32.
- 44 Morgan, J.E. and Morgan, A.J. 1992. Heavy metal concentrations in the tissues,  
45 ingesta and faeces of ecophysiologicaly different earthworm species. *Soil*  
46 *Biol. Biochem.* 24, 1691-1697.
- 47 Mori, T. and Kurihara, Y. (1979). Accumulation of heavy metals in earthworms  
48 (*Eisenia fetida*) grown in composted sewage sludge. *Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ.*,  
49 Ser. IV (Biol.) 37, 289-297.

- 1 Neuhauser, E.F., Loehr, R.C. and Malecki, M.R. 1988. The potential of earthworms  
2 for managing sewage sludge. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser, E.F. (eds),  
3 1988. *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management*. SPB Academic  
4 Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 9-20.
- 5 Neuhauser, E.F., Malecki, M.R. and Loehr, R.C. 1984. Growth and reproduction of  
6 the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* after exposure to sublethal concentrations of  
7 metals. *Pedobiologia* 27, 89-97.
- 8 Nielson, R.L. (1965). Presence of Plant Growth Substances in Earthworms  
9 demonstrated by Paper Chromatography and the Whent Pea Test. *Nature*  
10 (London). 208, 1113-1114.
- 11 Periera, J.C.R., Zambolim, G.M., Matsuoka, K, Acuna, R.S., and Vale, F.X. R. (1998).  
12 Survival of *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai and *Bacillus subtilis* Cohn in  
13 vermicompost. *Summa Phytopathologica*. 24, 231-238.
- 14 Phae, C.G., Sasaki, M., Shoda, M., and Kubota, H. (1990). Characteristics of *Bacillus*  
15 *subtilis* isolated from composts suppressing phytopathogenic microorganisms.  
16 *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 36: 575-586.
- 17 Pincince, A.B., Donovan, J.F. and Bates, J.E. 1981. Vermicomposting of municipal  
18 solid wastes and municipal wastewater sludges. In: Applehof, M. (compiler),  
19 1981. *Workshop on the Role of Earthworms in the Stabilization of Organic*  
20 *Residues*. Volume 1, Proceedings. Beech Leaf Press. Kalamazoo, Michigan.  
21 Pp 207-219.
- 22 Poinar, G.O. 1978. Associations between nematodes (Nematoda) and Oligochaetes  
23 (Annelida). *Proc Helminth. Soc. Washington* 45, 202-210.
- 24 Porter, C. (1999). California wineries take major steps to improve vineyards.  
25 *Biocycle*, January, 1999: 59-62.
- 26 Reddy, M.V. 1988. The effect of casts of *Pheretima alexandri* (Beddard) on the  
27 growth of *Vinca rosea*, and *Oryza sativa* L. In: Edwards, C.A. and Neuhauser,  
28 E.F. (eds), 1988. *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management*.  
29 SPB Academic Publishing bv, The Hague. Pp 241-248.
- 30 Reinecke, A.J., Viljoen, S.A. and Saayman, R.J. 1992. The suitability of *Eudrilus*  
31 *eugeniae*, *Perionyx excavatus* and *Eisenia fetida* (Oligochaeta) for  
32 vermicomposting in Southern Africa in terms of their temperature  
33 requirements. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24, 1295-1307.
- 34 Riggle, D. and Holmes, H. (1994) New Horizons for Commercial Vermiculture.  
35 *BioCycle*, October, 1994: 58-62.
- 36 Rysavy, B. 1969. Lumbricidae - an important parasitological factor in helminthoses  
37 of domestic and wild animals. *Pedobiologia*. 9, 171-174.
- 38 Sabine, J.R. 1983. Earthworms as a source of food and drugs. In: Satchell, J.E. (ed).  
39 1983. *Earthworm Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall,  
40 London, Pp.285-296.
- 41 Satchell, J.E. (ed). 1983. *Earthworm Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture*.  
42 Chapman and Hall, London,
- 43 Satchell, J.E. 1983a. Earthworm microbiology. In: Satchell, J.E. (ed). *Earthworm*  
44 *Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London. Pp 351-  
45 365.
- 46 Savalgi, V.P. and Savalgi, V. (1991). Effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* and  
47 earthworm casts as seed treatment on sorghum. *Journal of Maharashtra*  
48 *Agricultural Universities*, 16(1): 107-108.



- 1 Springett, J.A. and Syers, J.K. 1979. The effect of earthworm casts on ryegrass  
2 seedlings. In: T.K. Crosby and R.P. Pottinger (eds). Proceedings 2nd  
3 Australasian Conference on Grassland Invertebrate Ecology. Pp 44-47.  
4 Government Printer, Wellington.
- 5 Stark, N., Pawlowski, P. and Bodmer, S. 1978. Quality of earthworm castings and the  
6 use of compost on arid soils. In: R. Hartenstein (ed) Utilization of Soil  
7 Organisms in Sludge Management. Natl. Tach. Inf. Services, PB286932.  
8 Springfield, Virginia, Pp 87-102.
- 9 Stenersen, J. 1979. Action of pesticides on earthworms. Part I. The toxicity of  
10 cholinesterase-inhibiting insecticides to earthworms as evaluated by  
11 laboratory tests. Pestic. Sci. 10, 66-74.
- 12 Suzuki, K.T., Yamamura, M. and Mori, T. (1980). Cadmium-binding proteins  
13 induced in the earthworm. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 9, 415-424.
- 14 Szczech, M., Rodomanski, W., Brzeski, M.W., Smolinska, U., and Kotowski, J.F.  
15 (1993). Suppressive effect of a commercial earthworm compost on some root  
16 infecting pathogens of cabbage and tomato. Biol. Agric. & Hort. 10, 47-52.
- 17 Taber, W.A. 1976. Wastewater microbiology. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 30, 263-277.
- 18 Tomati, U., Grappelli, A. and Galli, E. (1988). The hormone-like effect of earthworm  
19 casts on plant growth. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 5, 288-294.
- 20 Tomlin, A.D., Protz, R., Martin, R.R., McCabe, D.C. and Lagace, R.J. 1992.  
21 Relationships amongst organic matter content, heavy metal concentrations,  
22 earthworm activity, and soil microfabric on a sewage sludge disposal site.  
23 Geoderma, 57, 89-103.
- 24 Tyagi, R.D. and Couillard, D. (1991). An innovative biological process for heavy  
25 metals removal from municipal sludge. In: Martin, A.M. (ed). 1991.  
26 Biological Degradation of Wastes. Elsevier Science Publishers, London. Pp  
27 307-321.
- 28 Venter, J.M. and Reinecke, A.J. 1987. Can the commercial earthworm *Eisenia foetida*  
29 (Oligochaeta) reproduce parthenogenetically or by self fertilization? Rev. Ecol.  
30 Biol. Sol., 24, 157-170.
- 31 Waugh, J.H. and Mitchell, M.J. 1981. Effect of the earthworm, *Eisenia foetida*, on  
32 sulfur speciation and decomposition in sewage sludge. Pedobiologia 22, 268-  
33 275.
- 34 Wielgus-Serafinska, E. and Kawka, E. 1976. Accumulation and localization of lead in  
35 *Eisenia foetida* (Oligochaeta) tissues. Folia Histochem. Cytochem., 14, 315-  
36 320.
- 37 Workneh, F., Van Bruggen, A.H.C., Drinkwater, L.E., and Sherrnan, C. (1993).  
38 Variables associated with a reduction in corky root and Phytophthora root rot  
39 of tomatoes in organic compared to conventional farms. Phytopathology. 83:  
40 581-589.
- 41 Zambolium, L., Santos, M.A., Becker, W.F. and Chaves, G.M., 1996. Agro-waste  
42 soil amendments for the control of *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato.  
43 Fitopatologia-Brasiliera. 21(2): 250-253.
- 44 Zhang, H. and Schrader, S., 1993. Earthworm effects on selected physical and  
45 chemical properties of soil aggregates. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 15, 229-234.
- 46